



Student Perspectives on Interprofessional Education: Collaboration and Its Challenges

Stephanie Q. Quiring^a, Marea K. Kinney^a, Heather McCabe^a, and Doug Jerolimov^b
^a Indiana University School of Social Work; ^b IUPUI Center for Teaching and Learning



Research Question

- How do students understand their role as future professionals?
- How do students navigate the collaborative process within IPE?

Background

- As part of a SOTL evaluation of a newly developed IPE class, this analysis focused on the group evaluations.

Study Participants

- 10 students in Graduate Seminar (Analytic sample, $N = 9$)
 - PH, Law, Policy & Advocacy
- Students divided into 3 interprofessional groups

| | MSW | MSW/MPH | JD/MPH | JD |
|---------|-----|---------|--------|----|
| Group 1 | 2 | | | 1 |
| Group 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Group 3 | 2* | | | 1 |
| Total | 5* | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Note: * 1 MSW not present for content analyzed

Methodology

- Critical discourse analysis
- Convenience sampling
- Individual, written group evaluations: 8 open-ended questions discussing collaborative experience, content and process, over the course of the semester

Analysis

- Guided by Gee's (2011; 2014) Seven Building Tasks
- Emphasis on Identities & Relationships Building Tools
- Each Tool has 6 sub questions that generated nodes
- 29 nodes
 - Relative position (13 combinations)
 - Role (self = 3, other = 4)
 - Characteristics (self = 3, other = 6)
- Identified language / node fit – individually
- Met as a collective to dialogue / refine node assignment
- Synthesized nodes to identify emerging themes

Results

Perspective #1

Professional hegemony exists amongst interprofessional student collaborators

SUBTHEME: Students identified differences between their professional characteristics and those of other profession

"I did not expect that legal students would communicate differently, but we, as a group came to the conclusion that different professions *definitely* communicate differently." (MSW)

SUBTHEME: That difference manifested in a hierarchical arrangements within teams

"Great to work with students no in law school. Refreshing. However, towards the end especially, it was clear that law student had more tools/training to research & write, at least for projects such as these." (JD)

Perspective #2

Student perceive professional expertise is the most important knowledge

SUBTHEME: What students have to 'give' is their professional substantive/content and what they have to 'gain' is content from other professions

"I was, through this project, able to express my legal knowledge." (JD)

SUBTHEME: Knowledge was not perceived as 'growth in collaborative skills'

"I believe that I was able to learn more on different state laws and policies..." (MSW)

Perspective #3

Effective collaboration is difficult to achieve, so learning collaborative skills needs to be intentional

"I feel we need more...training...in class to demonstrate decision-making styles. My work with my group...allowed me to realize [we] need conflict resolution, competency training—especially focusing on IP teams." (MSW)

"[This IPE class] helped me better appreciate the implications of studying group dynamics and theory and what that means as a group member with that understanding." (MSW/MPH)

Discussion

- Knowledge of student perspectives should inform the format/structure of IPE seminars
- Course structure should first find a means to elicit student's privately held values and perceptions
- Course structure should include learning activities that help students to see the value of different skills
- Outcomes should be understood to be the process of collaboration perhaps more so than the product of collaborations

How IPE is evaluated

- Learning activities and corresponding means of assessments are needed to evaluate collaboration rather than the product of collaboration.

Role of IPEC standards

- IPEC standards provide useful categories of evaluation and goals, but neither say enough about how success is measure nor about how it should be achieved

So what?

- In order to be successful and useful, Interprofessional Education approaches must address the challenges that students encounter when collaborating
- Future research examining interprofessional collaboration at the system level.

References

- Gee, J. P. (2011). *An introduction to discourse analysis: Theory and method* (3rd Edition). New York: Routledge.
- Gee, J. P. (2014). *How to do discourse analysis: A toolkit* (2nd Edition). New York: Routledge.
- Fairclough, N. (2011). Semiotic Aspect of social transformation and learning. In Rebecca Rogers (Ed.), *Introduction to Critical Discourse Analysis in Education* (2nd Edition). Florence, KY, USA: Routledge.
- Tenorio, E. H. (2011). Critical discourse analysis, an overview. *Nordic Journal of English Studies*, 10(1), 183-210.